



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/108,643	07/01/98	LENSSEN		K	PHN-16.435
Γ					EXAMINER
CORPORATE PATENT COUNSEL US PHILIPS CORPORATION 580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN NY 10591				LEWIS, I	>
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2673	10
				DATE MAILED:	01/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/108,643 Applicant(s)

Examiner

David L Lewis

Group Art Unit 2778

Lenssen et al.

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 5, 2000					
X This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay@35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within tapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 37 CFR 1.136(a).	the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claim					
X Claim(s) 1, 3-6, and 8-15	is/are pending in the applicat				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration				
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
	is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-S The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	e Examiner. approved disapproved. § 119(a)-(d). uments have been				
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES					

Title: Input Device

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. Claims 6, 8-10, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing

subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession

of the claimed invention. New Subject Matter. Said second calculating means of the amended

Claim 6 is not found in the specification. Figure 3 item 306 represents a single calculating means,

said second calculating means is missing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a

foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Rallison et al.

(5991085).

3.

Examiner: David L. Lewis January 2, 2001

Art Unit: 2673

Applicant: Lenssen et al.

Title: Input Device

4. As in claim 1, Rallison et al. teaches of a method for controlling a graphical element on a display

through manipulation of an input device, figure 1, the method comprising: measuring a plurality of

components of a magnetic field related to an orientation of the input device, said plurality of

components not being dependant to each other; and controlling the graphical element on the basis

of the plurality of components, column 19 lines 13-20, column 20 lines 37-52, characterized in that

the controlling, figure 27 item 522, step includes the sub-steps, calculating a first signal on the basis

of at least two of the plurality of components, the first signal representing a translation movement of

the graphical element in a first direction on the display, column 27 lines 17-58; and calculating a

second signal Y on the basis of at least two of the plurality of components, at least on of the at least

two of the plurality of components being different from the at least two components used for

calculating the first signal, the second signal representing a translation movement of the graphical

element in a second direction on the display, column 5 lines 10-19, column 20 lines 32-45, column

21 lines 1-17. Wherein Rallison et al teaches of a 3 axis magnetic sensing system, wherein three

pairs of orthogonally mounted magneto resistive sensors provide yaw detection. Each pair of sensors

are located on independent X, Y, and Z axis. The first signal of the yaw detection is comprised of

the sensor pair for the X direction, and a second signal of the yaw detection is comprised of the

sensor pair for the Y direction.

Examiner: David L. Lewis

January 2, 2001

Page 3

Art Unit: 2673

Applicant: Lenssen et al.

Title: Input Device

5. As in claim 6, Rallison et al. teaches of an input device for controlling a graphical element on a

display, figure 1, the input device comprising: a plurality of sensors for measuring respective

Page 4

components of a magnetic field related to an orientation of the input device and a controller for

controlling the graphical element on the basis of the plurality of components, column 19 lines 13-20,

column 20 lines 37-52, characterized in that the controller, figure 27 item 522, includes calculation

means for calculating a first signal on the basis of data from a least two of the plurality of sensors, the

first signal representing a translation movement of the graphical element in a first direction on the

display, column 27 lines 17-58, and second calculation means for calculating a second signal Y on

the basis of data from at least two of the plurality of sensors, at least one of the at least two of the

plurality of sensors being different from the at least two sensors used in calculating the first signal,

the second signal representing a translation movement of the graphical element in a second direction

on the display, column 5 lines 10-19, column 20 lines 32-45, column 21 lines 1-17, wherein in the

broadest interpretation of the clams said first and second calculation means are represented by

microprocessor 522 and PROM 524.

6. As in claim 3, Rallison et al. teaches of wherein the controlling step includes an initialization step for

measuring reference values of the plurality of components with respect to an orientation of the input

device at an instant of executing the initialization step, column 25 lines 18-20, and wherein the

calculating step calculates the first signal on the basis of a difference between current values and the

Examiner: David L. Lewis January 2, 2001

Art Unit: 2673

Applicant: Lenssen et al.

Title: Input Device

reference values of respective ones of the at least two of the plurality of components, column 25 lines 18-57. As in claim 4, Rallison et al. teaches of wherein in said initialization step the measuring step measures three components of the magnetic field resulting in a measurement of the strength of the magnetic filed, and wherein the initialization step is executed if the difference in strength of the magnetic field, between two successive executions of the measuring step, is larger than a predetermined threshold, column 19 lines 15-20, figure 23, and column 25 lines 17-45, wherein said drift/error corrections inherently applies to all sensors as mentioned on lines 15-20 of column 19, further, column 31 lines 50-56. As in claim 5, Rallison et al. teaches wherein the magnetic field is generated by a permanent magnet or an electromagnet, column 21 lines 1-15, wherein magneto resistive elements are utilized in conjunction with coiling. As in claim 8, Rallison et al. teaches of wherein said input device further comprises resent means for measuring reference data and wherein calculating means calculates the first signal on the basis of a difference between current data and the reference data, column 24 lines 32-65. As in claim 9, Rallison teaches wherein at least one of the plurality of sensors is an MR (magneto resistive) sensor, column 21 lines 1-18. As in claim 10, Rallison teaches wherein two of the plurality of sensors comprise an MR sensor, column 21 lines 1-18, and wherein a third of the plurality of sensors comprises a Hall sensor, the three sensors being manufactured on a single substrate, wherein the printed circuit board, column 20 lines 38-51, of the

Serial Number: 09/108,643 Page 6

Art Unit: 2673

Applicant: Lenssen et al.

Title: Input Device

tracker circuit 508, is equivalent to a substrate which can also include a Hall sensor, column 31 lines

30-35...

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter

as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which

said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rallison et al.

(5991085).

9. As in claims 11-15, Rallison et al. teaches of the invention as applied to claims 1-10 above.

However Rallison et al. does not explicitly recite the shown formulas. However Rallison et al. clearly

teaches of Euler angle calculation, column 27 lines 18-21. Euler's angle representing the

trigonometric relation of three orthogonal vectors in space. Said formulas as claimed obviously

represent Euler angle calculations and therefore would have been obvious to the skilled artisan in

view of Rallison et al. teaching of Euler angle calculation.

Examiner: David L. Lewis January 2, 2001

Art Unit: 2673

Applicant: Lenssen et al.

Title: Input Device

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 10/5/00 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Rallison et al teaches of a 3 axis magnetic sensing system, wherein three pairs of orthogonally

Page 7

mounted magneto resistive sensors provide yaw detection. Each pair of sensors are located on

independent X, Y, and Z axis. The first signal of the yaw detection is comprised of the sensor pair

for the X direction, and a second signal of the yaw detection is comprised of the sensor pair for the

Y direction.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6104379, 5729129, 5833608, 5886257.

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set

forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the

mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this

final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Examiner: David L. Lewis January 2, 2001

Art Unit: 2673

Applicant: Lenssen et al.

Title: Input Device

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In

Page 8

no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to David L. Lewis whose telephone number is (703) 306-3026. The examiner can normally

be reached on MT and THF from 8 to 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on (703) 305-4938. Any

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Or:

Examiner: David L. Lewis

(703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Or hand-delivered to:

Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 January 2, 2001